IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
WRIT PETITION NO : 10335 of 2004

Between:
1 Mrs M.Vijayalaxmi, W/o. M. Laxma reddy,
R/o. 2-2-1109/5/G, Bagh Amberpet,
Hyderabad.
2 Mrs A.Shoba, W/o. A.Ranga Reddy,
R/o. 2-2-1109/5/G, Bagh Amberpet,
Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad rep by its Commissioner , Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
2 The Asst City Town Planner Circle No. III MCH, Hyderabad.
3 Ms. J.Deepika , D/o. J.Sudarshan Reddy,
R/o. 2-2-1109/5/H, Bagh Amberpet
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or Writs more particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents No.1 and 2 in not taking action pursuant to the complaint dt. 8-6-2004 as illegal,arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents No.1 and 2 to take action against the illegal construction of the 3rd respondent in premises bearing No.2-2-1109/5/H, situated at Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad

For the Petitioners:MR.A.SANTHOSH KUMAR -Advocate

For the Respondent Nos 1 and 2 .: S.C. for M.C.H

The Court at the stage of admission made the Following :

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in not taking action pursuant to complaint dated 8-6-2004 with regard to illegal constructions being made at House No. 2-2-1109/5/H, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad.

Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioners are owners of H No.2-2-1109/5/G and H No.2-2-1109/5/I, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad and allege that his neighbour is making construction of flats in deviation to sanctioned plan. The petitioners stated to have filed a complaint to the second respondent on 8-6-2004. Since, no action is taken on the complaint dated 8-6-2004, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for respondent Mr.Ganta Rama Rao.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to consider the complaint made by the petitioner dt 8-6-2004 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with, after giving due notice and opportunity to third respondent. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

23-6-2004

TVK/DA

To

1 The Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad rep by its Commissioner , Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.

2 The Asst City Town Planner Circle No. III MCH, Hyderabad.

3**...2CCs to**

4..2CD copies

Form-NIC-OGS/WP{GMS}